

**Training Header Sheet with Change Log Form**

**Kentucky Academic Standards**

Social Studies – Grade 8

2022 Spring Op

SS0820005\_09

What Role Should Government Have in Economy

Practice Set 1

Date	Comments	Version
05/2022	Final Training Set	Set A

The government should have a significant influence in the economy. In the "U.S. Constitution, Article 1, Section 8 says "The Congress shall have Power To lay and collect Taxes,... to pay the Debts and provided for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States;..." This means Congress has the power to establish and collect taxes to found armies, resorse and pay debts. During a speech to the U.S. Senate Senator Henry Clay said "Eight years ago, the people of the United States were oppressed by too much debt. Property values were at a low point. Trade was threatened with a complete stopage. This was the situation in the United States before I introduced the tariff of 1824. A tariff is a tax on foregin trade. This tax encouraged people to interact with other states. This added their economy. He also says "If this system of protection is unconstitutional, as some people say, then it should be abolished. But keep in mind that the destruction of this system will surley lead to the ruin of thousands of our fellow citizens." The introduction says "The three main parts of the American System were imposing high tarrifs on imported goods to protect U.S industries from competition with other contries, having a strong Bank of the United States to promote economic stabillity and paying for internal improvements such as roads and canals to help move people and goods across the nation." If the Senate isn't allowed to impose tariffs then citizens will be able to compete with other contries. This might cause unneeded conflict. If the conflict progresses they may not be able to interact with foreign contries. This will destroy economic opprotunies. Having an established national bank allows citizens to protect their currency. Internal iimprovements allow goods to be transported to remote locations. This improves economic interaction.

i honestly think that the government should have the role they play currently. only because it keeps everything sane.

There is many roles the government could have in the economy. But the role the government **should** have is, as it said in Source 1, "To regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States." also to sign bills or to veto them.

As it said in the beginning of Source 2, "I have been asked to sign a bill that provides funds for for internal improvements in order to promote commerce and improve national defense." Also at the end of Source 2 it said, "If I sign this bill, it will destroy the relationships between the states and the national government. I therefore veto this bill."

I believe that the government should have the same roles in the economy as they do now, but on a more public scale. Many decisions that the government has made have been pushed under the rug and away from the public's eyes. If the government decided to push a proposal that could severely change the way that we normally function, why shouldn't we know about it? In Andrew Jackson's message to Congress in 1832, he states, "A national bank presents a danger to our liberty and the independence of the states. It is argued that the national bank is constitutional because the courts have said so. I disagree. Each public officer who takes an oath to support the Constitution swears that he will support it as he understands it..." This move on Andrew Jackson's part was smart. He thought about the well being of the public before anything else and that's how the government should be.

Another reason why I believe that the government should have the same roles in the economy as they do now, but on a more public scale is that the government often goes against the Constitution without realizing it. Without the eyes of the public putting in their input as well, we could possibly end up with a country no one wants to stay in due to how unconstitutional it is. In President James Madison's message to Congress in 1817, he stated, "I am aware of the great importance of roads and canals and that a power in the national legislature to provide for them would be a good thing for the country. But I do not see that power listed in the Constitution, and there is nothing we can interpret to say it exists. If I sign this bill, it would destroy the relationship between the states and the national government. I therefore veto this bill." This describes how the government wished to attempt something unconstitutional, but was stopped. Think of all of the scenarios where this could've gone wrong and our state/government unity would be divided! If the public, or at least a portion of the public, were to chime in with their opinions on the bill, these possible accidents could be prevented. This is why I believe that the government should have the same roles in the economy as they do now, but on a more public scale.

The role that the government should have in the economy is that it should balance what the economy can and can't do. I know this because in [Source 4] it said, "I see nothing in its function that makes the bank necessary or proper for carrying out the powers of the national government listed in the Constitution." This tells me that Andrew Jackson saw no purpose in the bank, and in order to keep the government in balance, he vetoed the bill.

Another role that the government should play in the economy is control what the economy spends their money on. I know this because in [Source 5] it said, "But now, the country is prospering. Farming has expanded, and employment is high." This shows me that because the government could control what the bank could spend their money on, it helped the United States prosper.

I believe that the government should have a huge role in the economy. This is because it can coin money and regulate its value, and has the power to lay and collect taxes.

First of all, since the government can regulate the value of coins and money, it would be able to make sure the economy is stable and running well. As said in source 5, "Deby has been reduced, land is worth more, and a national market is slowly developing for the american goods.". This is saying that with the government able to choose how much something is worth, it allows us to be able to grow and make sure that our debt is paid off.

Lastly, the government should have a huge role because they can collect and lay taxes. This is because then they can get a stong bank and make the economy stable. As said in the introduction," Having a strong Bank of the United States to promote economic stability.". This would allow the government to make sure that we do not fall into a huge deby like in 1824. As said in source 5, "Eight years ago, the people of United States were oppressed by too much debt."

These are the reasons why I believe the government should have a huge role in the economy. This is because they are able to coin money and regulate its value, and because it can lay and collect taxes.

The government could stay where they were at in the economy. "Farming has expanded, and employment is high. Debt has been reduced, land is worth more, and a notional market is slowly developing for American goods."

Because of that they were having better lives and were able to make a living. "This transformation fo the country from gloom to prosperity is the result of legislation that protects American Industry."



The government controls the U.S. therefore, they should have the power to do whatever they please in the economy s long as it is justified as to whythey are doing it or why they did it.

I feel as if the government should not try to open a bank of the United States, tax high tariffs on imported goods, and should not try to pass a bill that provides funds for internal improvements. James Madison states, "However, I do not believe this is allowed by our Constitution." This is a perfect example of how the government is trying to go against the constitution. We are aware of the importance of goods, roads, and canals but it is not listed in the constitution. The bill would destroy the states and let the government have full access and control of everyone. If we open a bank of the United States it puts us in danger to our liberty. It would also put the states at risk of independence. If all the states put their money into one bank the government would have access to it, alone with other states. This would cause freedom to be gone and the government to rule everyone. While others argue that the opening a bank is constitutional because the court has said so, they are very wrong. It violates the constitution. In the text it says, "Each public officer who takes an oath to support the constitution swears that he will support it as he understands." There is nothing here that makes the bank necessary if the states have their own. Those who oathed the constitution would be simply going against the bill. With that being said, If we open this bank we will start to economically decline. "Eight years ago, the people of the United States were oppressed by too much debt." (Source 5) This is because of how high the government was taxing on tariffs. In 1824 the U.S had roughly 90 million dollars. Fast forward eight years after taxes and we have dropped down to 30 million dollars. The damage that is done is unacceptable. Do we really want to fall back down that low again? The answer is no, therefore I am against passing this bill and I am vetoing it.

I believe the government should have some power but it should also be up to the citizens at the same time. As citizens that live in the United States if important decisions that are gonna affect my life are about to be made, I feel that they have the right to know what's about to come. The government plays an important role because they make it happen but all the power should not go to them in everything. In the introduction you read that the government "paid for internal improvements such as roads and canals to help move people and goods to markets across the nation." That was a good move on the government's part but was that what the people really wanted to happen.

**Training Header Sheet with Change Log Form**

**Kentucky Academic Standards**

Social Studies – Grade 8

2022 Spring Op

SS0820005\_09

What Role Should Government Have in Economy

Practice Set 2

Date	Comments	Version
05/2022	Final Training Set	Set A

Uin:AAAZTP13821000012246 Item:SS0820005\_09

One thing i have learned about the different perspectives on the american systems is imposing high tariffs on imported goods to protect U.S. industries from competition with other countries another thing i learned about it is having a strong Bank of the United States to promote economic stability and another thing is paying for internal improvements such as roads and canals to help move people and goods to markets across the nation.

Uin:AAAZTP13821000028835 Item:SS0820005\_09

I think the government should help and provide for the people. And when citezens are in trouble the government should help the. So like cops but with more than just laws.

Uin:AAAZTP13821000014188 Item:SS0820005\_09

the role should the government in the economy is that the branches of government should have a checks and balances system. A checks and balances system would be good because congress should have the power to collect taxes and pay the debts and borrow money. The supreme court says that incorporating the bank is constitutional.

the other role that the government should have is that they should have a bank, but however because according to andrew jackson, he says that a national bank presents a danger to everyone's liberty and independence of the united states. He also vetos the bill of reauthorizing the bank. On the other hand though after the tariff of 1824 a national bank is slowly developing and united states gained vast income.

Uin:AAAZTP13821000035363 Item:SS0820005\_09

The role the government should have in the economy should be only what it is given in the Constitution. The government should be involved in the economy but not to an extent where they controll all trade thate goes on. The Consitiution gives the government many powers such as the right "to lay and collect taxes". James Madison states "But I do not see that power listed in the Constitution, and there is nothing we can interpret to say it exists." He believes that if the power is not directly in the Constitution or can be easily interpereted from it then the governmetn should not act on it.

The Constitution of the United States can be interpreted to say what ever the interpreter wants it to say. This is unfair it should be a collective interpretation as it applies to the bill that is under speculation. Andrew Jackson agrees with this notion and says " I see nothing in its function that makes the bank necessary or proper for carrying out the powers of the national government listed in the Constitution." If the bill is not neccicary then the Constitution does not give the right to the governmetnt to pass it as stated here "To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution [these] Powers."



Uin:AAAZTP13821000051542 Item:SS0820005\_09

The United States federal government should have a stronger role in the economy of the nation, as this can lead to more domestic trade, and it can allow for more financial stability.

First of all, the ability to create tariffs (which is constitutional), can lead to more domestic trade. Sen. Henry Clay claims "A national market is slowly developing for American goods" when speaking to the senate in 1832 about his American Plan and its tariffs. This in turn can boost the US economy by pumping money back into it when we purchase domestic goods. Domestic trade can also lead to economic independence, which is quite useful in the event of an international crisis (War, Pandemic, etc.).

Secondly, federal involvement in the US economy can lead to financial stability. Some may argue that economic independence is dangerous in the event of a national level crisis (Recession, epidemic, etc.), however if the federal government had more power, they could create a larger federal reserve, or a third national bank. Think of a national-level emergency fund for any financial crisis that the nation faces. This would also give the nation something to fall back on in the event of war. And this is all within the powers of Congress. Article I of the U.S. Constitution states that "Congress shall have the power to...borrow money on the credit of the United States...To regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States"

All in all, federal involvement in the economy should exist, as it presents the opportunity to boost the national economy while also being able to protect itself financially.

Uin:AAAZTP13821000041550 Item:SS0820005\_09

The government should play a big role in the economy. The economy is one of the biggest parts of any nation and being that big, we can have random people choosing random things to do with it. Source five states, "The people of the United States were oppressed by too much debt." This shows that if the people are being hurt by the economy this much then it would in fact be unconstitutional for the government to not do something about it.

The second reason the government should have a big role in the economy is it is the government's job to protect American people and if the economy is too low it badly affects the people. The text states, "This transformation of the country from gloom to prosperity is the result of legislation that protects American industry." This tells me that if the government has succeeded in saving the economy before then it should play a big role in the economy forever to keep the U.S. financially stable.

Uin:AAAZTP13821000018064 Item:SS0820005\_09

i think the role they should have in the economy is a helper maybe. i think they need a helper to help out with everything.

Uin:AAAZTP13821000051807 Item:SS0820005\_09

I think the government should have the role to pay debts and provide good for the US because for the common defence and general welfare of the united states. Another reason is that to make all the laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into execution.

Uin:AAAZTP13821000007504 Item:SS0820005\_09

The role that the government should have in the economy is half the people, half the government. I know this because in Source 2 it says, "But I do not see that power listed in the Constitution, and there is nothing we can interpret to say it exists". This means that James Madison thinks that if he signs this bill, it will be unconstitutional. This also means that he had the power to sign or not sign the bill, but I think that sometimes everyone should have a say in big decisions that the government makes, like this one. The government should not have the ability to control things in our lives that we don't agree with, we are a democracy for a reason and not a monarchy where one person has all the power.

On the other hand, in Source 5 it says, "This transformation of the country from gloom to prosperity is the result of legislation that protects American industry". This means that although the government shouldn't have all the power it should at least have some because the government helps us sometimes. We got out of debt and misery because we got protected by the government. If we completely take all the power away from the government, then it will ruin some citizen's lives. So that is why I think the power should be half the people, half the government.

Uin:AAAZTP13821000012391 Item:SS0820005\_09

I believe the government should have a wide role in the economy. That way our government can create a strong economy for our country. They can control our debt and make sure things get paid back to boost our economy. In source 4 it states "A national bank presents a danger to our liberty and the independence to the states." Therefore, our government will do what is best for the US liberty and economy.

The government will promote economic stability within our country. They will ensure our country is getting the help it needs. In the introduction it states "having a strong Bank of the United States to promote economic stability,". This proves our government will provide economic stability for us.